

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/610,313	07/05/2000	Susan Barnett	PP01631.101	4221	
	7590 04/07/200 ACCINES AND DIAC	EXAMINER			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY R338			ANGELL, JON E		
P.O. BOX 809 Emeryville, CA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,,		1635			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/610,313	BARNETT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
J. E. Angell	1635	

	J. E. Angell	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods; 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date wave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp fling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to 	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		January
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>48-51</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-40 and 43-47.			
Claim(s) rejected. 1-40 and 43-47. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/ = =================================		
	/J. E. Angell/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1635	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The proposed amendment would change the scope of the claim from encompassing any sequence which encodes a Pol-specific immune response wherein the sequence having a sequence at least 90% identical to sequence of SCI ID NO: 30-32 to any sequence which encodes a Pol-specific immune response wherein the sequence "consists essentially of" a sequence at least 90% identical to the "full-ength of" the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 30-32. Therefore, the proposed amendment ducl necessaitate further search and consideration of the new claim. Applicant is respectfully reminded that MPEP 714.13 states that Applicants cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, except when an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examine suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some way requires only cursory review by the examiner. In this case, the proposed amendment raises new search and examination consideration that require more than a "cursory review." Therefore, the proposed amendment ril not be entered.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments are directed to the claims as they are presented in the proposed after final amendment. For instance, applicants argue that the claim 1 requires that the sequence exhibit 80% identity to the full length of SEC ID NO: 30-32. However, this new limitation is not present in the pending claims (it is only in the proposed amended claim). Since the amendment has not been entered for the reasons indicated herein, Applicants' arguments are not persuasive.